## Sustainable Development Action Plan - Progress Report Summary 2008

| Organisation: Ho  | ne Off          | ice                      |                              |              | , |   | ,                            |            |  |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---|---|------------------------------|------------|--|
| □ Department  |                 | n-Ministerial Dep        | artment                      | ПЕхес        | utive Agency                            | Пи  | DPB                          | Other      |  |
| Report of progress  |                 |                          |                              |              | nt Action Plan:2                        | h <del>arasa</del> k 1 o                        |                              |            |  |
|   |                 |                          |                              |              |   |   |                              |            |  |
| Progress Against A  | Actio           | ns                       |                              |              |   |   |                              |            |  |
| Percentage of actions   |                 |                          |                              |              |   | 65.0%   |                              |            |  |
| Average impact rating* of all the actions which are complete or on target:  *The Impact rating (1-4) is a self-assessment of the contribution to SD priority areas, and is not an indicati  |                 |                          |                              |              |   | Fair  |                              |            |  |
| Embedding Sustainability Self assessment ratings against four themes: To what extent is sustainable development embedded?   |                 |                          |                              |              |   |   |                              |            |  |
| Policies  |                 | People                   |                              | Operations   |   | Governance, Monitoring and Reporting            |                              |            |  |
| On Course   | On Course       |                          | Some Progress                |              | On Course                               |   | On Course                    |            |  |
| Self assessment ratings against five themes of the Flexible Framework: What reached? (Where an alternative sustainable procurement tool has been us summary.)  People  Policy, Strategy & Procurement En Communications  Process  Su  |                 |                          |                              |              |   | olease provide an appropriate  Measurements and |                              |            |  |
| Level 2 - Embed   | Level 2 - Embed |                          | Process Level 1 - Foundation |              | Suppliers Level 2 - Embed               |   | Results Level 1 - Foundation |            |  |
| Level 2 - Lilibed   | Le              | ver z - Embed            | Level 1 - F                  | oundation    | Level 2 - Emb                           | ed  | Level 1 -                    | Foundation |  |
| <ul> <li>What have been the highlights of your organisation's sustainable development progress over the past year?</li> <li>The work to achieve PSA 1 (to reduce overall crime by 15%), achieved an 18% reduction</li> <li>We have strengthed our relationships with our major suppliers through a series of engagement programmes.</li> <li>Completed a successful new build in the north of England which achieved the highest ever BREEAM rating.</li> </ul> |                 |                          |                              |              |   |   |                              |            |  |
| <ul> <li>What are the key challenges for the future?</li> <li>Better engagement between our strategic planning and policy functions with the principles of sustainable development.</li> <li>A higher rating in the Sustainability on the Government Estate process.</li> </ul>   |                 |                          |                              |              |   |   |                              |            |  |
| What are the key things   | which           | h have <b>helped a</b> i | nd hindere                   | d progress t | owards sustainat                        | ole deve  | elopmen                      | t?         |  |
| Helps:  Top level commitment.  Hinders:  Resource and staff constraints   |                 |                          |                              |              |   |   |                              |            |  |
| What lessons have be  | en lea          | arnt from the pro        | gress report                 | ? How will t |   | rward ir  | the nex                      | ct SDAP?   |  |
| Please summarise how the SDAP is aligned with and has helped your organisation to deliver its high level strategic objectives, including any Public Service Agreements (PSAs) and Departmental Strategic Objectives (DSOs).   |                 |                          |                              |              |   |   |                              |            |  |
| Many actions suuported our PSAs, although it is work to deleiver the PSAs that helped us to deliever our SDAP objectives.   |                 |                          |                              |              |   |   |                              |            |  |
| Melen k   | Ü               | patrick                  | . F1                         | NANCI        | L GENER<br>IAL AND<br>IRCIAL            | AL  | 29                           | 104109     |  |

Authorised by: [SD Responsible Officer - Name, position]

Date: