SDC Sustainable Development Action Plan (SDAP) assessment

Organisation: Department for Business, Enterprise & Regulatory Reform (BERR)

Title of SDAP: BERR Sustainable Development Action Plan 2008/09

SDAP Publication date: July 2008 **SDC Assessment date:** October 2008

Please see "SDAP assessment methodology paper" for background to the assessment process, and for full details of how the Sustainable Development Commission (SDC) has assessed, scored and rated this SDAP at the following webpage: http://www.sd-commission.org.uk/pages/sdap-tracker.html.

SUMMARY

Coverage

Strategic planning

Actions

Ownership, engagement and delivery

Monitoring, review and reporting

OVERALL

Score	Rating
3/4	
6/12	
5/12	
4/6	
1/6	
19/40	

ISSUES AND RISKS

BERR has enormous potential to improve the quality of the environment and there are various workstreams, as identified in the SDAP, which are concerned with improving the department's impact in this area. There is significant ongoing work with the Waste, Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) Directive and examples of pioneering work in areas such as biotechnology. The SDC would also be particularly interested to see the results of the various impact assessments that are being undertaken.

However, the SDAP is lacking an explanation of BERR'S vision of how sustainable development will be incorporated into its core business and activities, and consideration of the wider societal impacts of BERR's remit. How, for example, does BERR balance its remit of ensuring business success with the related impacts on society, most notably on employees and consumers, and ensuring their rights are protected?

Finally, the SDAP refers to nuclear power without providing an explanation of BERR'S role on this crucial and controversial issue. The SDC expects departments to be circumspect in their examination and support of policy proposals and with regard to the nuclear issue the SDC would challenge how BERR, along with DECC, will ensure any planned development is rigorously tested against stringent SD criteria.



COVERAGE Score O 1 2 1. Does the SDAP state clearly the period of time that it covers? X 2. Is it clear how the SDAP relates to the wider departmental family, and is SDAP coverage of the departmental family adequate?

	,			
STR	ATEGIC PLANNING			
	Score	0	1	2
3.	Does the SDAP set out the organisation's strategy for contributing to the aims, objectives and commitments of the UK Sustainable Development Strategy?		Х	
4.	Does the SDAP articulate the relevance of the five sustainable development principles to its core business, and set out how they are/will be mainstreamed and inform work?	X		
5.	Is it clear how the SDAP will contribute to the organisation's high-level strategic objectives, including any Public Service Agreements (PSAs) and Departmental Strategic Objectives (DSOs)?		Х	
6.	Does the SDAP have clear objectives, and does it articulate clearly how these objectives were selected and provide a rationale for any gaps e.g. in the coverage of core business areas?		Х	
7.	Does the SDAP link to other corporate plans or strategies?		Х	
8.	Does the SDAP include any commitment to work with other organisations or government bodies to deliver joint commitments, actions or initiatives?			X

ACT	IONS			
	Score	0	1	2
9.	Does the SDAP articulate how the sustainable development principles and priorities are/will be integrated into its policy and decision making, set out specific actions to achieve this, and explain how these actions have been selected?		X	
10.	Does the SDAP include explicit actions to improve the sustainability of the organisation's procurement activity (linking to SPAP commitments where relevant), and explain how they have been selected?		х	
11.	Does the SDAP contain specific actions which demonstrate how the organisation will drive forward the sustainability of its operations (linking to SOGE commitments where relevant), and explain how they have been selected?		X	

12.	Does the SDAP contain actions relating to people, such as actions to engage
	staff in sustainable development, develop their skills and capability to support
	delivery of the SDAP, and to improve their general well-being in the work
	place?

	X	
	X	
Х		

- 13. Are the actions outcome-focused?
- 14. Are the actions SMART?

OWNERSHIP, ENGAGEMENT AND DELIVERY

	Score	0	1	2
15.	Is it clear how the SDAP will be communicated to staff and stakeholders, and how the organisation will ensure they have the capability to put the SDAP's key messages to work?		X	
16.	Are the governance arrangements for supporting delivery of the SDAP clearly identified (e.g. boards, working groups), and is it clear who has overall responsibility for its delivery?		Х	
17.	Has the SDAP been signed off by a Minister, Permanent Secretary or Chief Executive, and has s/he provided a foreword?			Х

MONITORING, REVIEW AND REPORTING

MUN	HIORING, REVIEW AND REPORTING			
	Score	0	1	2
18.	Does the SDAP present links to the previous SDAP (where relevant) or to the historical context of sustainable development in the organisation (if this is the first SDAP that the organisation has produced)?		х	
19.	Are the methods and structures for monitoring and reviewing the SDAP clearly identified (e.g. boards, working groups, stakeholder engagement), and is it clear who the findings will be reported to?	х		
20.	Is it clear how the outcomes of internal SDAP monitoring/review will feed back into the SDAP process, including a commitment to update the SDAP within its period of coverage, where required?	Х		